

Observable Bulk Signatures of Non-Abelian Quantum Hall States

N. R. Cooper⁽¹⁾ and Ady Stern⁽²⁾

*(1) Theory of Condensed Matter Group, University of Cambridge,
Cavendish Laboratory, J. J. Thomson Ave., Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK. and*

(2) Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel.

(Dated: 20 December, 2008)

We show that non-abelian quantum Hall states can be identified by experimental measurements of the temperature-dependence of either the electrochemical potential or the orbital magnetization. The predicted signals of non-abelian statistics are within experimental resolution, and can be clearly distinguished from other contributions under realistic circumstances. The proposed measurement technique also has the potential to resolve spin-ordering transitions in low density electronic systems in the Wigner crystal and strongly-interacting Luttinger liquid regimes.

Recent interest in many-body systems whose quasi-particles satisfy non-abelian quantum statistics has been stimulated by strong theoretical arguments that such states may be realized in certain fractional quantum Hall effect states, and by the possible use of non-abelian quasi-particles for topological quantum computation[1]. In view of this interest, it is widely accepted that an experimental test of non-abelian quasi-particle statistics is highly desirable.

The fractional quantum Hall states within the Landau filling range of $2 < \nu < 3$ are the most accessible candidate states for such a test. Of these, the most prominent is the $\nu = 5/2$ state[2], which is believed likely to be described[3, 4] by the Moore-Read state[5] or a closely related state[6, 7]. Experiments have shown the quasi-particles of the $\nu = 5/2$ state to have fractional charge $e/4$ [8], consistent with these theoretical expectations. However, existing measurements do not discriminate between these non-abelian phases and other paired phases with simple abelian statistics.

Non-abelian quantum states are characterized by two properties: first, in the presence of well separated quasi-particles, the ground state is degenerate, and the degeneracy is exponential in the number of quasi-particles. And second, an adiabatic braiding of the positions of quasi-particles results in a unitary transformation that takes the system from one ground state to another, and that depends only (up to an overall phase) on the topology of the braiding. Previously proposed experimental tests have mostly focused on the use of interferometers to probe the effect of braiding[9, 10, 11, 12]. Largely, they make use of quasi-particles flowing along edges and encircling quasi-particles that are localized in the bulk. Remarkably, an interferometer has been proposed for utilization as a topological qubit[9].

In this Letter, we propose experimental tests of the exponential degeneracy of the ground state through the effect it has on the low temperature entropy. While in principle this entropy may be probed directly by a measurement of the system's heat capacity, in practice the heat capacity of the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) is negligible relative to that of the three dimen-

sional phononic system. The tests we propose measure only the electronic contribution. When compared to previously proposed interferometric tests, they enjoy the advantage of not relying on any assumed structure of the edge, and hence not being sensitive to edge reconstructions, non-equilibrium edge distributions etc. While not probing braiding, they do probe the existence of non-abelian quasi-particles. Therefore, they can distinguish a phase of the Moore-Read type from an abelian phase, or a phase where the $e/4$ excitations pair into abelian $e/2$ quasi-particles [13]. A previously suggested test of the ground state degeneracy has focused on its effect on the thermopower[14]. Being a transport property, however, one may worry whether it survives the effect of quasi-particle localization.

For the brevity of our presentation, we focus on the signatures of the proposed Moore-Read state at $\nu = 5/2$. The results for the particle-hole conjugate state (the “anti-Pfaffian”) are the same. The generalization to other non-abelian quantum Hall states is described at the end. For the Moore-Read state with N_{qp} quasi-particles at well-separated fixed locations, there are $2^{N_{qp}/2-1}$ ground states, that may be described by different occupations of the Majorana modes[15]. Tunnel coupling of the Majorana modes splits the degeneracy, and introduces a small energy scale J that is exponentially suppressed in the ratio of the quasi-particle spacing to the correlation length of the groundstate (presumably of the order of the magnetic length). We shall assume throughout that $J \ll k_B T$. We note that although J is small, it is important that it is non-zero, such that on the timescale of the measurements, the Majorana modes can come to thermal equilibrium. The Majorana modes therefore result in a temperature-independent contribution to the entropy per unit area, of size

$$s_{MR} = k_B n_{qp} \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \quad (1)$$

where n_{qp} is the density of quasi-particles. Since the quasi-particles have charge $e/4$, this density is

$$n_{qp} = 4 \left| n - \frac{5n_0}{2} \right| \quad (2)$$

where n is the areal density of electrons and $n_0 \equiv eB/h$ is the Landau level degeneracy for magnetic field B perpendicular to the 2DEG.

The thermodynamic signature of the non-abelian quantum Hall state is therefore the change in the entropy density (1) with changing number density of quasi-particles (2), which may be effected by varying either the density of electrons n or the magnetic field B . Central to our proposals is the observation that this change in entropy density has direct consequences for the electrochemical potential μ and the magnetization density m , as may be deduced by employing the Maxwell relations

$$\left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial T}\right)_B = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial B}\right)_T \quad (3)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial T}\right)_n = -\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial n}\right)_T. \quad (4)$$

If the $\nu = 5/2$ quantum Hall state is in the phase described by the Moore-Read state, then, using the Maxwell relations (3,4) together with Eqns.(1,2), we find that the non-abelian statistics of the quasi-particles lead to the contributions:

$$\left(\frac{\partial m_{\text{MR}}}{\partial T}\right)_{n,B} = \frac{ds_{\text{MR}}}{dn_{\text{qp}}} \left(\frac{\partial n_{\text{qp}}}{\partial B}\right)_{n,T} = \mp \frac{k_B e}{h} 5 \ln 2 \quad (5)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mu_{\text{MR}}}{\partial T}\right)_{n,B} = -\frac{ds_{\text{MR}}}{dn_{\text{qp}}} \left(\frac{\partial n_{\text{qp}}}{\partial n}\right)_{B,T} = \mp k_B 2 \ln 2 \quad (6)$$

where the signs on the right hand side correspond to the cases $\nu > 5/2$ of quasi-electrons or quasi-holes. The discontinuity at $\nu = 5/2$ is characteristic of a clean system. In the presence of disorder, the ground state at $\nu = 5/2$ would include pinned quasi-electrons and quasi-holes (of equal average density), that would smooth the transition through zero at $\nu = 5/2$.

We propose that the thermodynamic signal of non-abelian quasi-particles be sought in measurements of the magnetization density or of the electrochemical potential at fixed density. Measurements of these quantities do not suffer from background contributions from the three dimensional substrate around the quantum Hall system. We now show that these quantities can be measured to a degree of accuracy that should allow resolution of the non-abelian contribution to the entropy (1). Following that, we estimate other sources of entropy that may mask the signal we are after. We show that these sources are likely to be smaller in magnitude, and different in temperature dependence, than the contribution of the internal degrees of freedom of the non-abelian quasi-particles.

The predicted signal of the non-abelian quasi-particles of the $\nu = 5/2$ state on the electrochemical potential is a temperature-dependent shift $\Delta\mu_{\text{MR}} \simeq 1.4\Delta(k_B T)$, Eqn.(6), when the measurement is taken with a fixed density. There exist various techniques for measuring the variations of the chemical potential of a 2DEG[16, 17, 18,

19], which have allowed studies of both the average[16] and local compressibilities[19] in the fractional quantum Hall regime. The electrochemical potential μ is defined by the voltage drop between the electron gas and ground. A small change in temperature ΔT at fixed electrochemical potential ($\Delta\mu = 0$) will, in general, lead to a small change in the electron density, Δn . This change Δn could be detected by a measurement of the charge that flows onto the 2DEG[18], or by the change in the electrostatic potential around the 2DEG, for example by a Single Electron Transistor (SET) implanted on the top of the device[19]. Now, by making a small adjustment $\Delta\mu$ to the voltage between the ground and the 2DEG, one can use these measurements to eliminate the change in electron density ($\Delta n = 0$). The ratio $\Delta\mu/\Delta T$ then provides a measure of $(\partial\mu/\partial T)_n$, Eqn.(6). The electrostatic potential can be measured with a sensitivity of order 1mK by an SET[20], allowing changes in μ to be measured with milli-Kelvin accuracy. Thus, the predicted signal $\Delta\mu_{\text{MR}} \simeq 1.4\Delta(k_B T)$, Eqn.(6), could be measured even for temperature variations on the scale of a few milli-Kelvin, well below the bulk gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ state[21, 22]. On this temperature scale a very small value of ρ_{xx} is experimentally observed at and near $\nu = 5/2$, indicating that quasi-particles are immobile.

The predicted signal of non-abelian statistics of the $\nu = 5/2$ quasi-particles in the magnetization density is a temperature-dependent change of size $\Delta m_{\text{MR}} \simeq 12\text{pA} \times (T/\text{mK})$, Eqn.(5). The magnetization of 2DEGs can be sensitively measured by torque magnetometry methods[23, 24, 25, 26]. By the use of micromechanical cantilevers[25, 26] a sensitivity of order $\Delta m \simeq 400\text{pA}$ has been reported[27], while achievable force sensitivities of $\sim 10^{-17}\text{N}$ can allow $\Delta m \simeq 0.3\text{pA}$ [28]. Thus, the predicted signal should be observable for a temperature change as small as a few milli-Kelvin, again well below the energy gap of the $\nu = 5/2$ FQH state.

Some further comments are in order regarding the use of magnetization to measure the entropic contributions.

The magnetization of an electron gas has both orbital and spin contributions. For electrons in GaAs quantum wells torque magnetometry is sensitive only to the orbital contribution to the magnetization. This is convenient for our purposes, as it is the orbital magnetization which shows the signature of the non-abelian nature of the quasi-particles.

For a two-dimensional sample, the magnetization density m is the total current flowing around the sample edge. It is perhaps surprising that this edge current can be affected by the Majorana degrees of freedom of the bulk quasi-particles, which are electrically neutral and located far from the edge itself. Some intuition to this effect may be obtained by regarding the bulk and the edge as two systems at mutual thermal equilibrium. As the temperature is, say, increased and the filling factor is slightly above $\nu = 5/2$, the electrochemical potential

of the bulk decreases as a result of the quasi-particle entropy (1). (The electrochemical potential is the change in free energy associated with adding a single electron, i.e., four quasi-electrons, at fixed temperature.) To equalize its electrochemical potential to that of the bulk, the edge then needs to lose electrons. Since the electrons in the edge region experience classical skipping orbits that give a paramagnetic contribution to the magnetization, the loss of electrons from the edge reduces the magnetization density.

While our analysis above shows that the entropy (1) of the quasi-particles of the $\nu = 5/2$ state has detectable effects within available possible measurements, its actual observation depends on its relative magnitude to other sources of entropy. Since the measurements we propose are sensitive only to the electronic entropy, and since the bulk quantum Hall state is gapped, the main source of entropy is the positional degrees of freedom of the quasi-particles. We now turn to estimate this contribution.

We consider first the positional entropy of non-interacting quasi-particles. Exact formulas have been derived for a model Hamiltonian for which the Moore-Read state and its quasi-holes are exact zero energy states on a finite spherical geometry[29]. (Similar exact formulas have been derived also for the more complicated Read-Rezayi states[30, 31].) These formulas provide the total degeneracy of the ground state – including both internal and positional degrees of freedom of the quasi-particles – and hence, by taking the thermodynamic limit, the total entropy density of the system. By this calculation, the unique contribution of the non-abelian nature of the quasi-particles is masked by the positional entropy, with $s \sim k_B n_{qp} \ln(n/n_{qp})$.

These formulas cannot hold when the QHE is observed, as they neglect the interaction of quasi-particles with one another and with a disorder potential, which are essential for quasiparticle localization. These interactions act to constrain the motion of the quasi-particles, and as a consequence introduce a temperature dependence to the positional entropy which will make it possible to distinguish it from the entropy we are interested in. The exponentially small J led to the T -independent expressions (5) and (6). In contrast, no such exponentially small band width exists for the positional degrees of freedom.

In the low density limit, the quasi-particles will be pinned by impurities, with an approximately uniform density of states per unit energy for the range extending from zero energy to the energy gap E_g (the energy corresponding to a creation of a quasi-electron/quasi-hole pair). The positional entropy would then be proportional to T/E_g . (We assume hard-core quasi-particle repulsion, to prevent quasi-particles accumulating in a single potential well.)

When the density is higher and the system is clean enough that the separation between quasi-particles is smaller than the correlation length of the disorder po-

tential, crystallites of quasi-particles will be formed, with phononic excitation spectrum. As in the case of disorder, the entropy will again be temperature dependent. For Coulomb-interacting quasi-particles the crystallites will form triangular Wigner lattices, with lattice constant a set by $n_{qp} \equiv 2/\sqrt{3}a^2$. In a magnetic field it is characterized by the Debye energy $E_D \sim \frac{e^2}{\epsilon\epsilon_0 a} \frac{n_{qp}}{n_0}$. For $k_B T \ll E_D$, the positional entropy arises from the thermal excitation of the long-wavelength phonons of the Wigner crystal. The magnetophonon mode has dispersion $E_k = E_D(ka)^{3/2}$ [32, 33]. Thermal excitation of these phonons leads, in the low temperature limit, to a positional contribution to the entropy of

$$s_{WC} \stackrel{T \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} \frac{7k_B}{9\pi a^2} \Gamma(4/3) \zeta(7/3) \left(\frac{k_B T}{E_D} \right)^{4/3} \sim k_B n_{qp} \left(\frac{k_B T}{E_D} \right)^{4/3}. \quad (7)$$

The entropy associated with the positional degrees of freedom is given by Eqn.(7) for $k_B T \ll \min(E_D, E_m)$, with E_m being the melting temperature of the lattice. Even at higher temperature, when the solid melts, the entropy is expected to vary strongly with temperature, up to temperatures of the scale of the mean interaction energy between quasi-particles. Provided the temperature is below this scale but large compared to J/k_B , the non-abelian entropy (1) will be the only temperature independent entropy.

Thus, for samples in which the positional entropy of the quasi-particles arises largely from the phonons of a Wigner lattice, it should be possible to observe clear evidence of the non-abelian statistics in the measurements we propose. For large deviations of filling factor from $\nu = 5/2$ the Wigner crystal of quasi-particles competes with other phases, including a “stripe” phase of electrons[34]. A first order transition into such a phase will lead to singularities in the derivatives of the entropy density s . In the vicinity of this transition, one expects a first order transition as a function of temperature, owing to the differing entropies of the Wigner crystal of quasi-particles and the competing stripe phase. In the measurement schemes that we propose this phase transition will appear as singularities in $(\partial m/\partial T)_B$ and $(\partial \mu/\partial T)_n$.

The extension of this calculation from $\nu = 5/2$ to the other states of the $\nu = 2 + \frac{k}{k+2}$ Read-Rezayi series[35] is straightforward. Eqn.(2) reads $n_{qp} = (k+2)|n - \nu n_0|$. For a large N_{qp} the degeneracy of the ground state approaches $D^{N_{qp}}$, with the quantum dimension $D = 2 \cos \pi/(k+2)$ [36]. Consequently, $(\partial m_{RR}/\partial T)_B = \mp(k_B e/h)\nu(k+2) \ln D$, and $(\partial \mu_{RR}/\partial T)_n = \mp k_B(k+2) \ln D$.

Before concluding, we note that very similar physics arises under very different circumstances. Consider the d -dimensional electron gas in zero (or weak) magnetic field, in the regime of low particle density (large r_s) where the electron gas is expected to behave as a classical Wigner crystal. Deep inside this Wigner crystal

regime, the electrons are localized close to the sites of the classical groundstate and exchange interactions are suppressed. There is then a wide separation of energy scales, between the characteristic interaction scale set by the typical Coulomb energy $E_C \sim e^2 n^{1/d}/(\epsilon\epsilon_0)$ and the energy scale set by spin exchange interactions J' , which is exponentially small. For $J' \ll k_B T \ll E_C$: (i) the temperature is sufficiently high that magnetic order is destroyed, so there is a entropy density arising from spin of

$$s_{\text{spin}}(k_B T \gg J') = k_B n \ln 2, \quad (8)$$

(ii) the positional entropy of the particles is strongly suppressed, being described by phonons of the Wigner lattice and adopting a power-law temperature dependence for $k_B T$ much less than the Debye energy, which in this case is $E_D \sim E_C/\sqrt{r_s}$. For the 2D Wigner crystal, the long-wavelength phonons (2D plasmon) have the dispersion $E_k \sim k^{1/2}$, leading to $s_{\text{WC}} \sim nk_B(k_B T/E_D)^4$. In one dimension, this regime $J' \ll k_B T \ll E_C$ is referred to as the “spin-incoherent Luttinger liquid” regime[37, 38], for which one finds $s_{\text{WC}} \sim nk_B(k_B T/E_D)$ at low temperatures. Thus, for $k_B T \ll E_D$ the spin entropy (8) is the dominant contribution. Using measurements of the temperature-dependence of the electrochemical potential (4) as described above, one can expect to observe experimentally the spin contribution to the entropy and transitions associated with changing magnetic order under variations of $J'/(k_B T)$, via

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial T}\right)_n = -\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial n}\right)_T \simeq -\left(\frac{\partial s_{\text{spin}}}{\partial n}\right)_T \quad (9)$$

for $k_B T \ll E_D$. Studies of this type could provide important thermodynamical information on the nature of spin-ordering in quasi-1D and quasi-2D electron states at low densities. Such measurements are related to the technique described in Ref.[18]. By the use of measurements of electrostatic potential by an SET, these techniques allow access to small structures (e.g. quasi 1D wires).

In summary, we have shown that experimental evidence for non-abelian quantum Hall states can be obtained from measurements of the magnetization density and electrochemical potential. These quantities allow the determination of the entropy associated with the non-abelian degrees of freedom of the quasi-particles. The predicted signal of non-abelian statistics is within experimental resolution, and can be clearly resolved from other entropic contributions at sufficiently low temperature. Our suggested measurement technique also has the potential to resolve the thermodynamic signatures of spin-ordering transitions in low density electron gases in the Wigner crystal and strongly-interacting Luttinger liquid regimes.

We are grateful to J.P. Eisenstein, B.I. Halperin, Jack Harris, Shahal Ilani, Nick Read, Amir Yacoby and in particular Kun Yang for helpful comments. This work was partially supported by EPSRC Grant No. EP/F032773/1, by the US-Israel bi-National Science Foundation and by Microsoft’s Station Q.

-
- [1] C. Nayak *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008); A. Stern, Ann. Phys. **323**, 204 (2008).
 - [2] R. Willett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1776 (1987).
 - [3] R. H. Morf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1505 (1998).
 - [4] E. H. Rezayi and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4685 (2000).
 - [5] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
 - [6] M. Levin, B. I. Halperin, and B. Rosenow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236806 (2007).
 - [7] S.-S. Lee, S. Ryu, C. Nayak, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 236807 (2007).
 - [8] M. Dolev *et al.*, Nature **452**, 829 (2008); I. P. Radu *et al.*, Science **320**, 899 (2008).
 - [9] S. D. Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 166802 (2005).
 - [10] A. Stern and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 016802 (2006).
 - [11] P. Bonderson, A. Kitaev, and K. Shtengel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 016803 (2006).
 - [12] D. E. Feldman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 085333 (2007).
 - [13] C. Toke, N. Regnault and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 036806 (2007); X. Wan, K. Yang and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 256804 (2006); X. Wan, Z.-X. Hu, K. Yang and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 165316 (2008).
 - [14] K. Yang, arXiv:0807.3341 (unpublished).
 - [15] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267 (2000).
 - [16] J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 674 (1992).
 - [17] S. Ilani, A. Yacoby, D. Mahalu, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3133 (2000).
 - [18] O. Prus *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 205407 (2003).
 - [19] S. Ilani *et al.*, Nature **427**, 328 (2004).
 - [20] S. Ilani, private communication.
 - [21] H. C. Choi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 081301 (2008).
 - [22] C. R. Dean *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 146803 (2008).
 - [23] S. A. J. Wiegiers *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3238 (1997).
 - [24] I. Meinel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 819 (1999).
 - [25] M. P. Schwarz *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 3564 (2000).
 - [26] J. G. E. Harris, D. D. Awschalom, K. D. Maranowski, and A. C. Gossard, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 5102 (2000).
 - [27] N. Ruhe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 235326 (2006).
 - [28] J. Harris, private communication.
 - [29] N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 16864 (1996).
 - [30] E. Ardonne, J. Phys. A **35**, 447 (2002).
 - [31] N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 245334 (2006).
 - [32] A. V. Chaplik, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **62**, 746 (1972), [Sov. Phys. JETP **35**, 395 (1972)].
 - [33] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 5009 (1982).
 - [34] A. A. Koulakov, M. M. Fogler, and B. I. Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 499 (1996); R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 5006 (1996).
 - [35] N. Read and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 8084 (1999).

- [36] M. Freedman *et al.*, Ann. Phys. **310**, 428 (2004).
- [37] K. A. Matveev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 106801 (2004).
- [38] G. A. Fiete, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 801 (2007).